IVUS: should it be used more extensively in deep venous reconstructive surgery

> Peter Neglén, M.D., Ph.D. SP Vascular Center Limassol, Cyprus

Faculty Disclosure

Peter Neglén, M.D., Ph.D

Stockholder/Founder - Veniti, Inc Member/Medical Advisory Board -Covidien Vascular Therapies Veniti, Inc. Speaker - Cook Medical

Wallstents and nitenol stents in these studies are used "off-label," e.g., the use for iliac venous stenting is not described on the product's label.

What is IVUS?

- Catheter based intravascular ultrasound
- Appr. 10 MHz frequency is necessary to achieve adequate penetration (>3cm)



How to use it?

- U/S guided percutaneous vein access below for example a suspected obstruction
- Guidewire and sheath inserted
- Coaxial or monorail tracking
- Catheter advance to highest point of interest and then images acquired during withdrawal



What's unique with IVUS?

- Visualizes vessel lumen from inside out and penetrates adjacent structures, not a "shadow-o-gram" like venogram
- Gives full 360° view of crosscut vessel lumen
- Locates side branch or collateral vessels
- Reveals character of wall and external structures, incl. accompanying and crossing arteries



Intraluminal lesions are well visualized



Advantages of IVUS

- No need for injection of contrast dye
- Decreases the exposure to irradiation
- Better imaging in morbidly obese patients
- Can be used outside the angio room with or without adjuvant fluoroscopy
- Potentially procedures can be guided by IVUS alone

Role of IVUS in Venous Disease

Good for

- 1. Diagnosis of pelvic outflow obstruction
- 2. Guiding femoro-ilio-caval stenting
- 3. Guiding placement of IVC filters
- 4. Revealing residual wall thrombus and external compression after early clot removal

Bad for

• Visualization of valve leaflets

Aspects on Chronic venous obstruction

- No hemodynamic tests to assess hemodynamically significant stenosis are availablecan provide exact degree and
 - Unknownextent of ostrostiontion is hemodynamically significant
- Standard for Imaging Vehous obstruction.
 Detection by single- or multi-plane venography,
- Detection by single- or multi-plane venography, MR-V, CT-V and IVUS
- Morphological stenosis >50% significant

Intravascular Ultrasound



(NIVL = nonthrombotic iliac vein lesion)



NIVL left



IVUS vs. Transfemoral Venogram: (comparison of diameter stenosis)

IVUS >70% (n=304) >50% (n=104); Venogram - AP view only Normal venogram findings in 17-25% Venogram underestimated the degree of stenosis by 30% Inaccurate location or extent on venogram in 41%

- sensitivity 43-45% - negative predictive value 49%-56%

[Hingorani et al, J Vasc Surg 2011;52:804] [Neglén and Raju, J Vasc Surg 2002;35:694-700]

IVUS >50% (n=37); Venogram – multiple oblique images 51% positive IVUS (7/19 <50% on venogram) - sensitivity 63% -negative predictive value 68%

[Unpublished personal data]

IVUS show 46% of compression lesions involve both the CIV and EIV

[Raju, Neglen. J Vasc Surg 2006;44:136-144]

IVUS of 16 limbs with iliac compression revealed findings not seen on venogram: 68% had lesions extended into EIV or CFV 25% had non-occlusive thrombi 44% had synechia

IVUS modified the intervention in 50% of limbs

[Forauer et al. J Vasc Intervent Radiol 2002;13:523-7]

Identifying the proximal and distal stent landing zones

LT



Non-occlusive Postthrombotic Obstruction

LT



Post-stenting

Role of IVUS in Venous Disease

Good for

- 1. Diagnosis of pelvic outflow obstruction
- 2. Guiding femoro-ilio-caval stenting
- 3. Guiding placement of IVC filters
- 4. Revealing residual wall thrombus/external compression after early clot removal

Bad for

• Visualization of valve leaflets