What are the consequences of failed endo in CLI?



Ross Miner, MD **Director, Center for Aortic Diseases** CENTER FOR **University of Chicago** AORTIC DISEASES ITY OF CHICAGO



Disclosure

Speaker r	name: Ross	Milner,	MD
-----------	------------	---------	----

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

.

- Consulting: Medtronic; WL Gore
- Employment in industry
- Shareholder in a healthcare company
- Owner of a healthcare company
- Other(s)
- I do not have any potential conflict of interest

Background



- Infra-inguinal occlusive disease has traditionally been treated with open revascularization
- With the advent of percutaneous angioplasty and stenting there has been a paradigm shift in treatment strategies

Textbook Therapy



- Exercise Program
- Smoking cessation
- Operate for disabling claudication that

prevents people from working

Textbook Therapy



- Exercise Program
- Smoking cessation
- Operate for disaling childication that

prevents people from working



- Nationwide, several hundred percent increase in endovascular procedures and decrease in bypass grafting
- Goodney et al. reported over a 10-year period a three-fold increase in endovascular procedures along with a concomitant decrease in bypass surgery of 42%
- Percutaneous angioplasty and stenting has become the treatment for many infrainguinal occlusive lesions

Selected Studies



4-Year Freedom from TLR Zilver PTX vs. Standard Care – Drug Effect



45% reduction in reintervention rate due to the drug

4-Year Primary Patency (PSVR < 2.0) Zilver PTX vs. Standard Care – Drug Effect







Definitive LE



- 800 patients at 47 centers
- Primary patency of 78% at 12 months
- No difference between diabetics and nondiabetics
- 95% amputation-free survival in critical limb ischemia patients



CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES IN VASCULAR SURGERY



Clinical outcomes and implications of failed

infrainguinal endovascular stents

Ilia Gur, MD, William Lee, MD, Gabriel Akopian, MD, Vincent L. Rowe, MD, Fred A. Weaver, MD, and Steven G. Katz, MD Pasadena and Los Angeles, Calif (J Vasc Surg 2011;53:658-67.)



From the Peripheral Vascular Surgery Society

Failed superficial femoral artery intervention for advanced infrainguinal occlusive disease has a significant negative impact on limb salvage

Omar Al-Nouri, DO,^a Monika Krezalek, BS,^a Richard Hershberger, MD,^b Pegge Halandras, MD,^b Andrew Gassman, MD,^a Bernadette Aulivola, MD,^b and Ross Milner, MD,^b Maywood, Ill

Objective: Endovascular treatment of superficial femoral artery (SFA) lesions is a well-established practice. The repercussions of failed SFA interventions are unclear. Our goal was to review the efficacy of SFA stenting and define negative effects of its failure.

Methods: A retrospective chart review was conducted from January 2007 to January 2010 that identified 42 limbs in 39 patients that underwent SFA stenting. Follow-up ankle-brachial index and a duplex ultrasound scan was performed at routine intervals.

Results: Mean patient age was 68 years (range, 43-88 years); there were 22 men (56%) and 17 women (44%). Intervention indication was claudication in 15 patients (36%), rest pain in seven patients (17%), and tissue loss in 19 patients (45%). There were 15 patients (36%) with TransAtlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC) A, nine patients (21%) with TASC B, five patients (12%) with TASC C, and 13 patients (31%) with TASC D lesions. The majority of lesions intervened on were the first attempt at revascularization. Three stents (7.7%) occluded within 30 days. One-year primary, primary-assisted, and secondary patency rates were 24%, 44%, and 51%, respectively. Limb salvage was 93% during follow-up. Seventeen interventions failed (40%) at 1 year. Of these, seven patients (41%) developed claudication, seven patients (41%) developed ischemic rest pain, and three patients (18%) were asymptomatic. During follow-up, three patients (7.7%) required bypass and three patients (7.7%) major amputation, one after failed bypass. All limbs requiring bypass or amputation had TASC C/D lesions. Thirty-day and 1-year mortality was 2.6% and 10.3%, respectively.

Conclusions: Interventions performed for TASC C/D lesions are more likely to fail and more likely to lead to bypass or amputation. Interventions performed for TASC C/D lesions that fail have a negative impact on limb salvage. This should be considered when performing stenting of advanced SFA lesions. (J Vasc Surg 2012;56:106-12.)



Introduction

 Despite technical success with stenting of advanced SFA lesions (TASC C/D), little is known about the negative effects of a failed SFA intervention

Methods



- Retrospective review of SFA stenting at Loyola University Medical Center and Hines VAMC from 2007-2010
- N = 42 limbs in 39 patients
- Primary endpoint: Patency
 - One-year primary, primary-assisted and secondary patency rates
- Secondary endpoints: Death, amputation-free survival, limb salvage rates



Demographics

- Male: 22/39 (56%)
- Female: 17/39 (44%)
- Mean age: 68 years (range 43-88)
- Mean BMI: 27.02 kg/m² (range 20-47)

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES IN VASCULAR SURGERY

Risk Factors	<i>Number of patients (n=39)</i>	Percentage
Coronary artery disease	19	49%
Myocardial infarction	9	23%
Hypertension	36	92%
Diabetes	19	49%
Hypercholesterolemia	29	74%
End-stage renal disease	6	15%
Warfarin anticoagulation	5	11%
Smoking history	30	77%



Lesion Characteristics

- TASC A: 15 (36%)
- TASC B: 9 (21%)
- TASC C: 5 (12%)
- TASC D: 13 (31%)
- Patent peroneal artery: 35/42 limbs (83%)





Indication for Intervention



1-Year Overall Patency





TASC ClassificationCONTROVERSUES & UPDATES
INVASCULAR SURGERY1-Year Primary Patency









TASC Classification 1-Year Secondary Patency



www.cacvs.org

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES

UARY 22-24 2015



- 17 Failed Interventions
 - 7 (17%) Developed claudication
 - 7 (17%) Developed Ischemic rest pain
 - 3 (7%) Remained asymptomatic

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES

Event	TASC A (N=15)	TASC B (N=9)	TASC C (N=5)	TASC D (N=13)
Stent failure	5	4	3	5
Loss of run- off vessels	0	0	0	2
Open revascularizat ion	0	0	1	2
Major amputation	0	0	2	1

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES	

Event	TASC A (N=15)	TASC B (N=9)	TASC C (N=5)	TASC D (N=13)
Stent failure	5	4	3	5
Loss of run- off vessels	0	0	0	2
Open revascularizat ion	0	0	1	2
Major amputation	0	0	2	1

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES	

Event	TASC A (N=15)	TASC B (N=9)	TASC C (N=5)	TASC D (N=13)
Stent failure	5	4	3	5
Loss of run- off vessels	0	0	0	2
Open revascularizat ion	0	0	1	2
Major amputation	0	0	2	1

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES	

Event	TASC A (N=15)	TASC B (N=9)	TASC C (N=5)	TASC D (N=13)
Stent failure	5	4	3	5
Loss of run- off vessels	0	0	0	2
Open revascularizat ion	0	0	1	2
Major amputation	0	0	2	1

- Mortality during followup: 6/39 (15.4%)
 - TASC A: 2 patients
 - TASC B: 0 patients
 - TASC C: 2 patients
 - TASC D: 2 patients

- Mortality:
- 2 sepsis
- 1 hospice
- 1 septic shock secondary to fulminant liver failure
- 1 urosepsis
- 1 MI

TROVERSIES & UPDATES





- SFA stenting performed for TASC C/D lesions: more likely to fail and lead to bypass or amputation more than TASC A/B
- SFA stenting performed for TASC C/D lesions: negative impact on limb salvage if stent occludes



- Patent peroneal artery did not increase likelihood of SFA stent patency
- Smoking has a negative impact on stent success
 - 13/17 (76%) of failed SFA interventions were in current smokers (P < 0.05)



Thank You

FACTORS TO CONSIDER WARE EN RUPDATES TO CONSIDER WARE 22-24 2015 DETERMINING BEST TREATMENT

- Short term vs long term fix?
- Facility utilized?
- Cost of repeat interventions?
- Co-morbidities of the patient?
- Quality of the conduit available?
- Expertise of the surgeon or vascular intervention list? (Who has the most complete toolbox?)



Some Questions

- How do you treat younger patients with TASC C/D disease?
- When is the right time to proceed to bypass?
- Is "endovascular first" always the right choice?



• TASC A/B can be safely treated





- TASC A/B can be safely treated
- TASC C/D is technically feasible





- TASC A/B can be safely treated
- TASC C/D is technically feasible
- Failure of TASC C/D interventions may

compromise future bypass success



- TASC A/B can be safely treated
- TASC C/D is technically feasible
- Failure of TASC C/D interventions may compromise future bypass success
- Will DES or DEB technology alter these failure modes

Primary Patency by TASC Classification



and the second second

www.cacvs.org

CONTROVERSIES & UPDATES

JANUARY 22-24 2015

Prior failed ipsilateral percutaneous endovascular intervention in patients with critical limb ischemia predicts poor outcome after lower extremity bypass

Brian W. Nolan, MD, MS, Randall R. De Martino, MD, David H. Stone, MD, Andres Schanzer, MD, Philip P. Goodney, MD, MS, Daniel W. Walsh, MD, and Jack L. Cronenwett, MD, for the Vascular Study Group of New England, Lebanon, NH; and Worcester, Mass (J Vasc Surg 2011;54:730-6.)

In conclusion, while it is well known that a prior failed ipsilateral infrainguinal bypass is a negative predictor for future LEB success, this study demonstrates that a prior failed infrainguinal ipsilateral PVI has a similar negative prognostic effect on subsequent LEB. While we are unable to definitely prove causation, prior failed ipsilateral PVI is associated with 1-year major amputation or graft occlusion in the same manner that other established factors such as dialysis dependence, synthetic conduit and distal target arteries are. While some patients may benefit from an initial PVI, those who are eligible for either procedure may benefit from bypass given our findings and those of the BASIL trial. www.cacvs.org

Primary stenting of the superficial femoral and popliteal artery

David D. Dearing, MD, Kaushal R. Patel, MD, John M. Compoginis, MD, Mary A. Kamel, BA, Fred A. Weaver, MD, and Steven G. Katz, MD, Los Angeles and Pasadena, Caliph (J Vasc Surg 2009;50:542-8.)

We aggressively pursue catheter based intervention using a policy of selective but liberal stenting in patients with TASC A and B lesions and in many patients with TASC C disease. Percutaneous treatment of TASC D lesions is now reserved for patients with multiple medical comorbidities or those with inadequate conduit for surgical bypass. We would also be more likely to employ angioplasty and selective stenting in patients with TASC D disease whose indication for intervention is healing of an ulcer or digital amputation since they may not require as durable a reconstruction as patients with claudication or ischemic rest pain.



Surgical and endovascular revision of infrainguinal vein bypass grafts: Analysis of midterm outcomes from the PREVENT III trial

Scott A. Berceli, MD, PhD, Nathanael D. Hevelone, MPH, Stuart R. Lipsitz, PhD, Dennis F. Bandyk, MD, Alexander W. Clowes, MD, Gregory L. Moneta, MD, and Michael S. Conte, MD, Gainesville and Tampa, Fla; Boston, Mass; Seattle, Wash; and Portland, Ore

(J Vasc Surg 2007;46:1173-9.)

In summary, open surgical revision of infrainguinal vein grafts provides an increased freedom from further reinterventions or major amputation; however, early success rates for endovascular procedures were similar, particularly for nonoccluded grafts. With time, endovascular revisions require an increasing number of reinterventions and manifest higher rates of failure. These data support the critical importance of continued ultrasound surveillance after vein graft revisions.

Surgery for Claudication: Venous Bypass is the Primary Procedure for TASC C and D Lesions

Thomas Eugster • Regula Marti • Lorenz Gurke • Peter Stierli, (World J Surg (2011) 35:2328-2331)

Conclusion: Infrainguinal venous bypass for severe IC has excellent long-term results. Our results are strong arguments against the liberal use of stenting long lesions of the femoropopliteal artery. Venous bypass remains the primary procedure for TASC C and D lesions in claudicants.



Percutaneous angioplasty and stenting of the superficial femoral artery

Scott M. Surowiec, MD, Mark G. Davies, MD, PhD, Shirley W. Eberly, MS, Jeffrey M. Rhodes, MD Karl A. Illig, MD, Cynthia K. Shortell, MD, David E. Lee, MD, David L. Waldman, MD, PhD, and Richard M. Green, MD, *Rochester, NY* (J Vasc Surg 2005;41:269-78.)

Our patency data do suggest that for patients with TASC A and B lesions, endoluminal therapy appears to be the method of choice. For patients with TASC C and D lesions, open bypass provides superior patency and must be given first consideration. Factors that might make one select endoluminal therapy for TASC C and D lesions include infection at the proposed bypass site, medical comorbidities that preclude open operation, or lack of autogenous vein and below-knee reconstruction required.



Angioplasty and Primary Stenting of High-grade, Long-segment Superficial Femoral Artery Disease: Is It Worthwhile?

Stephen W.K. Cheng, MS, FRCS, FACS, Albert C.W. Ting, MBBS, FRCS, and Pei Ho, MBBS, FRCS, Hong Icong, China (Ann Vasc Surg 2003; 17: 430-437)



Overall cumulative primary patency rates at 12, 24, and 48 months were 56%, 35%, and 22%, and secondary patency rates were 69%, 47%, and 37%, respectively. A stented segment length >10 cm and procedure in claudicants incurred an inferior patency rate. Treatment of high-grade SFA lesions with angioplasty and primary setting results in lower long-term patency rate than those with surgery, but combined with secondary interventions this treatment option may be an acceptable alternative in selected patients with critical ischemia. Femoropopliteal bypass remains the procedure of choice.















